

**From:** Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 4/2/2012 12:22:52 PM  
**To:** Eric Graybill/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**CC:**  
**Subject:** Fw: Response Requested: WO# 1203001 Dimock - Final Report Review

Eric, could you give me a response for the 1-methylnaphthalene comment below?  
robin

Robin Costas, Chemist  
EPA Region 3, OASQA  
Ft. Meade, Md 20755  
410-305-2659

----- Forwarded by Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US on 04/02/2012 12:22 PM -----

**From:** Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US  
**To:** Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Elaine Brownley/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 04/02/2012 11:43 AM  
**Subject:** Response Requested: WO# 1203001 Dimock - Final Report Review

Robin and Elaine,

The case narrative does not include a general section and should at a minimum make a statement about samples received intact. I also suggest adding a statement that all results are included in one report (different than rest of project).

NQL - needs spelled out under Glycol notes.

Under SVOAs - 1-methylnaphthalene comment - was this treated just like other compounds (not on-demand correct)?  
Maybe add - and all QC were within criteria??

Word estimated needs to be after qualified in the SVOA notes (QLs qualified "UJ").

All else looked okay.

Cindy